	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/918,530	HIRAMATSU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Sherali Ishrat.	(3)	
(2) Kevin Brayton McGoff.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 January 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, and 8-9	-	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that application is in condion for allowance, however in Claims 1 and 8-9 in line 8 improperly" and" is recited which should be after amended limitation. Applicant's Attorney agreed with Examiner and requested to make changes by an examiner's amendment,